Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) OKAZAKI, YOSHINORI	
10/541,470		
Examiner	Art Unit	
TIMOTHY KENNEDY	1791	

	TIMOTHY KENNEDY	1791			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
HE REPLY FILED 09 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13				
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	nally set in the final Offic	e action; or (2) as		
NOTICE OF APPEAL			<i>-</i>		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS		20 ((-			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 					
					(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
<u> </u>	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
I. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Diagram of the compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Diagram of the compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	4 h - 6 4h	41			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.		
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See attached action.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
/Joseph S. Del Sole/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791					